TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

PR 17 2 3 PM " 107

In the Matter of:

DAVID L. TITUS

Seattle, Washington

EB Docket No, 07-13

DATE OF HEARING: __MARCH 27, 2007 ____ VOLUME: ___1__

PLACE OF HEARING: __WASHINGTON, D.C. __ PAGES: _1-47__

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 TELEPHONE (202) 234-4433

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

: EB Docket No.

: 07-13

DAVID L. TITUS

: FRN No. 0002074797

Amateur Radio Operator : File No.

and Licensee of : EB-06-IH-5048

Amateur Radio Station KB7ILD

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Tuesday, March 27, 2007

9:30 a.m.

BEFORE:

RICHARD L. SIPPEL

Chief Administrative Law Judge

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of the Enforcement Bureau:

GARY SCHONMAN, ESQ.
WILLIAM KNOWLES-KELLETT, ESQ.
Counsel for Enforcement Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Suite 4C-237
Washington, DC 20554
(202) 418-1420

On Behalf of David L. Titus:

STEVEN D. BROWN, ESQ.

Of: Law Office of David S. Marshall 1001 4th Avenue 44th Floor Seattle, WA 98154 (206) 826-1400

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(9:29 A.M.)
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Did you file notices
4	of appearance?
5	MR. SCHONMAN: Did we file?
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.
7	MR. SCHONMAN: No, the HDO said we
8	didn't have to.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: I was just asking
10	that more out of curiosity then out of any
11	requirement. I've gotten into tussles with
12	the Bureau sometimes.
13	MR. SCHONMAN: It doesn't say that
14	we didn'thave to file one, but the rules make
15	us an automatic party in every case.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I know, but
17	I'vehad occasion where it'sbeen a problem in
18	terms of the equality of treatment of the
19	parties, and it's not just for purposes of
20	principle on the administrative - taking that
21	next administrative step, but it's a -
22	sometimes what happens is, I think in one case

1	it happened, is that the party was late in
2	filing notice of appearance and didn't have
3	too much of an excuse for doing it. But there
4	was no notice of appearance that had been
5	filed by the Bureau, so I mean in terms of
6	taking drastic steps in a situation like that,
7	such as, you know, dismissing a party or doing
8	on a default basis, I felt I just couldn't do
9	it.
10	MR. SCHONMAN: Well nobody's
11	alleged -
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: No. But I think in
13	the future it would be a good idea as a matter
14	of practice to just file one. It doesn't
15	hurt, and it puts everybody on an even keel.
16	But I'mnot going to - no, not this case. Mr.
17	Brown?
18	MR. BROWN: Yes.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Hold on just a
20	minute. We have a court reporter here. I'm
21	Judge Sippel,
22	MR. BROWN: All right.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Judge Richard L.
2	Sippel. And I'll be presiding at this
3	proceeding. And I'mgoing to ask counsel to
4	identify themselves on the record.
5	MR. SCHONMAN: On behalf of the
6	Chief Enforcement Bureau, Gary Schonman and
7	William Knowles-Kellett.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. And on behalf
9	of Mr. Titus, who is on the phone.
10	MR. BROWN: Steven Brown of the law
11	offices of David S. Marshall.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. That's it
13	then, right? Just you Mr. Brown?
14	MR. BROWN: That's correct.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. I know
16	that you've got your notice of appearance in,
17	and Mr. Marshall is on that as well as
18	yourself.
19	MR. BROWN: That's correct.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, let me just
21	make a couple of preliminary comments here.
22	First of all, I know you're Seattle,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE.. N.W.

Washington. You're on the speaker phone with authorization. This is our conference. It's our first pre-hearing conference and show-cause/revocation proceeding. EB docket number 07-13 in Re: the amateur license of David L. Titus, your client, which was released by the Enforcement Bureau on the 30th of January, 2007. The counsel for the Bureau have now identified themselves and will be participating in the trial of this case.

Burden of proof and the burdens of proceeding has been assigned to the Bureau counsel. Mr. Brown, I want to again extend my appreciation for your coming in this early, because you'rethree hours behind us, so we've had a chance to sort of wake up and have our first cup of coffee. I hope you're not disadvantaged by this. I appreciate it very much, sir.

MR. BROWN: Well thank you for allowing me to participate by phone. I

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

 \perp

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2 1

1	appreciate it.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, well
3	let'smove on to business. My first concern
4	is with the trial date. And I'veinformally
5	asked the parties to see if you can get
6	together with some dates. You understand that
7	I'm not going to be available in August, so
8	with that as a given, whatever all you can
9	agree to, I'm interested in hearing. Mr.
10	Schonman, you want to pick up on that?
11	MR. SCHONMAN: Sure. We have
12	informally discussed a potential hearing date,
13	and Mr. Brown, I think if my records are
14	correct, we were going to propose to the
15	presiding judge September 19 th and, if
16	necessary, September 20 th . That's a Wednesday
17	and a Thursday. Is that correct? Is that
18	your understanding?
19	MR. BROWN: That is where we were
20	on dates, yes.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Is that okay with

you?

1	MR. BROWN: The dates are. I do
2	have a question I'd like to ask with regard to
3	that, but the dates themselves are fine.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: How about Mr. Titus,
5	is he essentially available on those dates?
6	MR. BROWN: Well, he will try to
7	make himself available on those dates. The
8	question we obviously had is whether there are
9	any provisions for such a hearing to be held
10	on the west coast rather than on the east
11	coast.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Sorry about that, no
13	sir. The designation order, which controls my
14	discretion on a question such as that order
15	the case to be heard here in Washington.
16	MR. BROWN: Right. And obviously
17	there are provisions for witnesses' testimony,
18	etcetera, upon motion, to be able to be done
19	telephonically.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's correct. I
21	will listen to that request, but it's going to
22	have to be done witness by witness, and the

Bureau is going to have to, obviously, have the opportunity to object or ask for some kind of a protective order or whatever, but I'm not totally averse to it. But if the witnesses needed - if the witness that is needed is a fact witness -

MR, BROWN: Is Mr. Titus himself.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Titus himself?

MR. BROWN: Go ahead, I'm sorry. I interrupted. Continue.

JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm saying that if the witness is a fact witness, all right, to what would be an essential matter of fact, and it's based on something like observation as introducing a document which opposed to reflects a date, I might be inclined to require that, for demeanor purposes, I might require the witness to be hear in the courtroom. But the nature of this case, it seems to me, at this juncture anyway, lends itself being open to to requests telephonic testimony. Now that would be with

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the exception of Mr. Titus, of course. 1 Ι would expect him to be in the courtroom for 2 the entire proceeding. Now let me ask this to 3 the Bureau, do you have any objection to what 4 I just said, or any qualifications? 5 SCHONMAN: No sir, we would 6 MR. 7 expect Mr. Titus to be present at the hearing in Washington, and that if there's a request 8 9 that other witnesses appear by speaker phone, 10 we would want to have justification for that 11 and be able to weigh in on whether that's warranted or not, or appropriate. 12 JUDGE SIPPEL: Certainly. 13 Okay, Mr. Brown, anything - now that's my ruling, 14 but do you have comment, or are there any 15 qualifications to that that you'd be asking 16 for? 17 MR. BROWN: Well, I think, just so 18 you understand the nature of the case from our 19 20 perspective, we in the interesting are position of going to have to be proving a 21

This is a gentleman who's aged

negative.

thirty two who was convicted, plead guilty when he was eighteen to child abuse, has had a clean record since then, so one of the things that we will have a hard time figuring out is how much we have to prove that he does not have a record.

JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, well, we'll get to that. I know that swhat defense counsel are for, and you know, I certainly have a keen interest in what you're saying here. So I think let's take it just one step at a time, okay?

MR. BROWN: That's fine.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Today all we're trying to do is get dates set, and I'm giving you the general parameters of telephonic testimony. There are some cases where I would just exclude it, but I'm not excluding it. I'm saying that you have the burden of showing or giving a reason as to why the witness is not needed here in the courtroom, and the Bureau can give its position on that and I

would be inclined to accept it unless it was 1 2 a good reason not. Again, with the exception of Mr. Titus. 3 4 MR. BROWN: Yes. 5 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Now, the 6 scope of discovery. I know what's in the mill 7 right now. Let me just make an aside with

9 Commission. You filed an original and one of

filing pleadings

with

the

the set of pleadings that you filed -11 basically preliminary objections, I think, to

12 the request for documents -

to

respect

8

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. BROWN: Correct.

JUDGE STPPEL: And int rrogatories of the Bureau and asking for a bit of an extension of time, that type of thing. Those documents came in as an original The rules require an original and and one. six copies. And they are to be filed not with the Office of the Administrative Law Judge, but with the Office of the Secretary. we're going to require that you file five additional copies with the secretary'soffice. We'll take care of the original and one. And I've got - you've given me the notice that I want. You've given me a fax notice of these documents, and that's all I need for my working copies.

MR. BROWN: Got it.

JUDGE SIPPEL: But the official filing, and that can get critical sometimes, when it comes a date, compliance with the rules on dates, like any other court system. And those have to be filed specifically with the secretary's office, and we then - the six copies get distributed to counsel and the judges, and we get the stamped copy from the secretary's office, so we know we've a fixed date that we can work with if we need to.

But on the other hand, in the meantime, yes, fax us, right away, as soon as you're finished sending them out for filing, give me a fax and also an email copy to myself and to Mrs. Gosse, my assistant.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

MR BROWN: Yes.

2

4

3

4

5

6

Ü

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

JUDGE SIPPEL: And I guess whatever you worked out with counsel. Courtesy copies to counsel also. And he'll do the same for you. Mr. Schonman?

SCHONMAN: Yes, I have some MR. additional matters with respect to filings. I think it would be helpful if each individual filing has a certificate of service appended to it, and then I think - I'm certain that the rules require that each pleading be directed to a particular individual or entity that's supposed to rule on it. So it has to have your name on the - your Honor, if you're the one to whom it's directed, your name has to appear on the - below the caption. And I also believe that in terms of filing the original plus six, so that we all are aware of due dates for certain filings, that the document has to be received at the secretary's office on or before the due date, not necessarily mailed from Seattle on the due date.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202)234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Schonman is - I 2 know from experience he's citing basically 3 what the rules require. Do you have any 4 additional comment to that, Mr. Brown? Ιt 5 seems to be pretty straightforward, and I will 6 give you time to get - to meet these dates. 7 I mean, if you need a couple of more days 8 coming in from Seattle, I'llbe glad to give 9 those to you. 10 MR. BROWN: One, I understand what 11 he says. Two, I would request, particularly 12 given sometimes the state of the mail, the 13 same courtesy of being faxed or emailed copies 14 of all pleadings so that even with the lack of 15 delivery of mail, that I get them in time to

JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, absolutely. I don't mean to cut you off, but absolutely. I mean, this is a two-way street on all this. And I will courtesy-copy you as well as Bureau counsel with email copies of orders. Once the order leaves the office, usually on the

be able to respond.

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	issuance date, not even on the release date,
2	I will get you a courtesy copy, so that -
3	particularly if it's a time-sensitive order.
4	MR. BROWN: Right, and I assume
5	that future pleadings will be, in fact, mailed
6	to our law offices as opposed to our client.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Schonman?
8	MR. SCHONMAN: Well, absolutely.
9	Now that you have filed a notice of
10	appearance, we now know that you exist. When
11	we filed our original documentary quest and
12	interrogatories, we had not yet received your
13	late-filed notice of appearance.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. You want
15	to respond to that, Mr. Brown? I didn't mean
16	to cut you off.
17	MR. BROWN: No, I just - in the
18	spirit with which we're conducting this
19	conference, I just want to make sure that
20	we're all square on moving forward.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Absolutely. Good
22	point. All right, well I think we basically

know where we're going on this. I require a \perp 2 fax because sometimes I don't check my emails 3 as religiously as I probably should, and I know if a fax comes in, I know I've got it. 4 5 MR. BROWN: That's not a problem. 6 SIPPEL: But you all can JUDGE 7 agree to just using emails, whatever you all agree to is fine with me. When I say you all, 8 I mean you and the Bureau counsel. 9 10 MR. BROWN: Understood. 11 MR. Your Honor, the SCHONMAN: 12 13 14 that he gets it virtually immediately. Му

Bureau has no problem sending Mr. Brown courtesy copies either by fax or by email so that he gets it virtually immediately. My concern was with Mr. Brown's filing of documents with the secretary'soffice, that he has to somehow arrange to insure that documents are received by the secretary's office. An original and the proper number of copies on whatever due date is applicable to that pleading.

JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. I think

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	we've already covered that. He knows that.
2	And you've got the Bureau's pleading. You can
3	see what Mr. Schonman was referring to in
4	terms of addressing a pleading specifically to
5	myself.
6	MR. BROWN: Let's take a look -
7	he's talking about his discovery pleadings?
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Right.
9	MR. BROWN: Yes, I have those.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, no, he's got
11	them addressed to Mr. Titus. He doesn't have
12	them addressed to me.
13	MR. BROWN: That's right.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Not that they should
15	be necessarily addressed to me, but I'm just
16	trying to say that there is a - you know, in
17	the place where instead of "To David L.
18	Titus," it would say "To the Honorable Richard
19	L. Sippel, Chief Administrative Law Judge,"
20	something like that.
21	MR. BROWN: Yes, that's fine.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: And that would cover

your point, right Mr. Schonman? 1 2 MR. SCHONMAN: Yes sir. 3 SIPPEL: This is such JUDGE 4 common thing that I don't really focus on it 5 in a pre-hearing conference. And I don't mean 6 to say that it's not important. It is. Let's 7 do this one right, and I'm sure we will. 8 MR. SCHONMAN: And your Honor, 9 yesterday we did file a pleading directed to 10 you, and we will, today, send Mr. Brown either a fax or an email version of that. 11 12 Okay, I haven't SIPPEL: 13 gotten that. Have we gotten that? Oh, Mrs. 14 Gosse just passed it over to me. 15 just been handed is a copy of the Bureau's 16 response to David Titus' response and general 17 objections to the first set of interrogatories 18 and a request for additional time in which to 19 answer and object. I was going to address the

document, I'm just looking at the title. But

it certainly will show how it's addressed to

additional time.

20

21

2.2

haven't read this

1 me. 2 MR. BROWN: Yes, and I of course haven't received it. 3 MR. SCHONMAN: On that point, your 4 5 Honor, we have no objection to his request for 6 additional time. 7 JUDGE SIPPEL: okay, there is no 8 objection to the time. I can move right into 9 that right now, then. And that is, let me 10 see, I'vegot a note on that. You want until 11 the second of April? 12 MR. BROWN: That would be good. 13 quess with the mailing time we maybe ought to 14 be smart with that, because that will be three 15 It's probably smarter, if no party days. 16 objects, to - it would be wiser to make it the 17 sixth of April, but I can live with the second of April, getting it there. Given that we 18 have a September hearing date, I would not 19 2.0 think we're particularly time-sensitive, but

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, the sixth is

maybe I'm wrong.

21

1	okay with me. I'm going to be out of the
2	country until the sixteenth, so if it's all
3	right with the Bureau, the sixth is fine with
4	me, and then the Bureau would have until the
5	sixteenth. How does that work?
6	MR. SCHONMAN: Well, I guess I'm
7	confused. In his request for additional time
8	to respond to the interrogatories, he asked
9	for April 2 nd .
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Right.
11	MR. SCHONMAN: Is he now not asking
12	for April 2 nd ? He wants April 6 th ?
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's correct.
14	Because of the mailing requirement. He knows
15	that he has to get it in - it has to be
16	received at the Commission on the date that
17	it'sdue.
18	MR. SCHONMAN: He can email that to
19	us, to the Bureau, that is.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, but he's got to
21	get it in to the Commission. He's got to get
22	it in to the secretary's office on the due

date, also.

MR. SCHONMAN: If he wants to modify to April 6th, we would have no objection to that. But I think in the future it would be helpful if, when he requests a date, that that is the date that he's requesting.

SIPPEL: I don't mean to speak on your behalf, Mr. Brown, but my understanding is is that we're now - we're just getting into the nuts and bolts of this filing requirement, and he's going to be filing documents from out there in Seattle, Washington, to the Commission here on 12th Street, and we've noted to him, or instructed him, that the date that is set for the filing, whether it'sby virtue of rule or by virtue of my order, is the date that it has to be physically filed with the Commission.

And the date that he sends it to the Commission, however he does it, whether it'sby - you know, two or three days earlier,

by overnight mail or messenger, however, we will get the courtesy copies. So they should be emailed the date that they leave your - the day that they're signed and they leave your office - I'mtalking to both counsel on this, the emails should go out. Unless it's done late in the evening and you want to wait until the next day. Again, there's a rule of reason here. I'mnot asking or setting a requirement here that you wait until a document is actually filed with the Commission before you send the courtesy out. The whole idea is to get that courtesy out right away.

MR. SCHONMAN: The Bureau understands that Mr. Brown is in Seattle. We just want to make sure that when he proposes a particular date and we agree to that particular date, that he understands that he has to take efforts to insure that it's received on that date. If he proposed April 2nd and he understands that he has to get it out sometime in late March, that's something

2.0

he'llhave to work with. There are firms out of state that work directly with law firms here in Washington, and they do the filings on behalf of out of state firms.

I don't know if Mr. Brown has any relationship with communications firms here in town, but what I'm trying to do, and we certainly want to cooperate, but I think we have to have a precise understanding that when there's a due date, that he will have to take whatever efforts are necessary on his part to insure that he complies with that. suggested, he proposed April 2nd. We filed a pleading agreeing to that, and then on the date of the pre-hearing conference, he's proposing yet another date. This is an initial pre-hearing conference. We don't mind, in the spirit of cooperation, agreeing to a few extra days, but I think on a going forward basis, he's going to have to take whatever efforts are necessary to insure that it's filed on a particular date.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2 1